arXiv:1403.4888v2 [math.RA] 20 Mar 2014

Classification of Compatible Parabolic Subalgebras for Complex Symmetric Pairs

He Haian

Department of Mathematics

The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology

Abstract: In this paper, we shall recall and arrange the relationship between hyperbolic elements and parabolic subalgebras at first. And then, we shall classify all the compatible parabolic subalgebras containing a τ-stable Borel subalgebra for complex symmetric pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\tau)$, where τ is a involutive automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$.

1 Background

The compatibility of a parabolic subalgebra plays a very important role in representation theory. Restriction to a reductive Lie subalgebra of a generalized Verma module attached to a compatible parabolic subalgebra decomposes in the Grothendieck group with each summand finite multiplicity (Theorem 3.10 [**Ko**]). For complex symmetric pairs, T.Matsuki gave a very strong equivalent condition when a Borel subalgebra is $\mathfrak{g}^\tau$-compatible (Proposition 11 [**M**]), and T.Kobayashi generalized it; that is, a parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is $\mathfrak{g}^\tau$-compatible if and only if $\tau\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}$ (Lemma 4.5(2) [**Ko**]).

There are many books and articles studying symmetric space such as [**B**], [**C1**],

[**C2**], [**H**], [**L1**] and [**L2**]. It is known that each complex symmetric space is a product of symmetric spaces of the form $G \times G/G$ such that G is a complex connected simple Lie group and is diagonally embedded into $G \times G$, with symmetric spaces of the form G/H such that G is a complex connected simple Lie group and H is its reductive subgroup. We shall make use of it together with the concept of hyperbolic element to classify all the $\mathfrak{g}^\tau$-compatible parabolic subalgebras containing a τ-stable Borel subalgebra for complex symmetric pairs of this two types.

2 Hyperbolic Element

Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a complex semi-simple Lie algebra.

Definition 1. *A semi-simple element $H \in \mathfrak{g}$ is said to be hyperbolic if the eigenvalues of* $\mathrm{ad}(H)$ *are all real.*

For a hyperbolic element H, we define the subalgebras

$$\mathfrak{u}_+ \equiv \mathfrak{u}_+(H),\ \mathfrak{l} \equiv \mathfrak{l}(H),\ \mathfrak{u}_- \equiv \mathfrak{u}_-(H)$$

as the sum of the eigenspaces with positive, zero, and negative eigenvalues, respectively. Then

$$\mathfrak{p}(H) := \mathfrak{l}(H) + \mathfrak{u}_+(H)$$

is a Levi decomposition of a parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Fix a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ and a simple system Δ of $\mathfrak{g}$ which gives a positive system Φ^+. Moreover, for $\alpha \in \Delta$, denote T_α to be the element in $\mathfrak{h}$ such that $\alpha(T_\alpha)$=1 and $\beta(T_\alpha) = 0$ for all $\beta \in \Delta - \{\alpha\}$. By definition, the hyperbolic

elements in $\mathfrak{h}$ are exactly

$$\{\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta} c_\alpha T_\alpha \mid c_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Because a standard parabolic subalgebra is determined by a subset of the simple system and a Borel subalgebra which it contains (Lemma 3.8.1(ii) [**CM**] or Proposition 5.90 [**K**]), we denote $\mathfrak{p}_\Pi$ to be the standard parabolic subalgebra corresponding to the subset $\Pi \subseteq \Delta(\mathfrak{g})$; namely,

$$\mathfrak{p}_\Pi = \mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{g} + \sum_{\alpha\in\Phi^+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha + \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}\Pi\cap\Phi^+} \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ is the root space of the root α and $\mathbb{N}$ is the set of non-negative integers.

If H is a hyperbolic element in $\mathfrak{h}$, it gives a unique standard parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}(H)$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. However, a standard parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ may correspond to a subset of hyperbolic elements in $\mathfrak{h}$. More precisely, for $\Pi \subseteq \Delta$, let's denote $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{h}, \Delta, \mathfrak{p}_\Pi)$ to be the set of all the hyperbolic elements in $\mathfrak{h}$ which define the standard parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}_\Pi$ with respect to the simple system Δ.

Proposition 1. $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{h}, \Delta, \mathfrak{p}_\Pi) = \{\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta-\Pi} k_\alpha T_\alpha \mid k_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+\}$.

Proof. It is already known that, $\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta-\Pi} k_\alpha T_\alpha$ with $k_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$ is a hyperbolic element in $\mathfrak{h}$. Let $\mathfrak{p}_\Pi = \mathfrak{l}_\Pi + \mathfrak{u}_+$ be the standard Levi decomposition. If $\beta \in \Phi^+(\mathfrak{l}_\Pi)$, β is a positive integer combination of elements in Π, so $\beta(\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta-\Pi} k_\alpha T_\alpha) = 0$. Else, if $\beta \in \Phi^+ - \Phi^+(\mathfrak{l}_\Pi)$, β is a positive integer combination of elements in Δ with at least one element in $\Delta - \Pi$, and then $\beta(\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta-\Pi} k_\alpha T_\alpha) > 0$ since all $k_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Also, $\mathrm{ad}\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta-\Pi} k_\alpha T_\alpha(\mathfrak{h}) = 0$. Hence, $\mathfrak{l}_\Pi = \mathfrak{l}(\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta-\Pi} k_\alpha T_\alpha)$ and $\mathfrak{u}_+ = \mathfrak{u}_+(\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta-\Pi} k_\alpha T_\alpha)$. Thus, $\mathfrak{p}_\Pi = \mathfrak{p}(\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta-\Pi} k_\alpha T_\alpha)$. On the other hand, if $\mathfrak{p}_\Pi = \mathfrak{p}(H)$ for some hyperbolic element H in $\mathfrak{h}$, write $H = \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta} k_\alpha T_\alpha$ with all $k_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}(H) = \mathfrak{l}(H) + \mathfrak{u}_+(H)$ be the Levi decomposition with respect to H. Because of the uniqueness of nilpotent radical, $\mathfrak{u}_+ = \mathfrak{u}_+(H)$. Moveover, if $\beta \in \Phi^+(\mathfrak{l}_\Pi)$,

$\mathfrak{g}_\beta$ lies in some eigenspace of H, and this eigenvalue must be 0, or it contradicts to $\mathfrak{u}_+ = \mathfrak{u}_+(H)$. Hence, $\mathfrak{l}_\Pi \subseteq \mathfrak{l}(H)$, and compute the dimension, it is actually $\mathfrak{l}_\Pi = \mathfrak{l}(H)$. If $\beta \in \Pi$, $\mathfrak{g}_\beta \subseteq \mathfrak{l}_\Pi$, so $\mathrm{ad}H(\mathfrak{g}_\beta) = 0$, and hence $k_\beta = \beta(H) = 0$. Else, if $\beta \in \Delta - \pi$, $\mathfrak{g}_\beta \subseteq \mathfrak{u}_+$, so $\mathfrak{g}_\beta$ lies in a positive eigenspace of $\mathrm{ad}H$, and hence $k_\beta = \beta(H) > 0$. Therefore, $H = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta - \Pi} k_\alpha T_\alpha$ with all $k_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$. This completes the proof. □

For a parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}$, we denote $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{p})$ to be the set of all the hyperbolic elements in $\mathfrak{g}$ which define $\mathfrak{p}$; namely,

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{p}) = \{H \in \mathfrak{g} \mid H \text{hyperbolic}, \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}(H)\}.$$

By definition, $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{h}, \Delta, \mathfrak{p}_\Pi) \subseteq \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{p}_\Pi)$ and $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$.

Write $G = \mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$, P the parabolic subgroup of G with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{p}$. Moreover, let

$$P = LU_+ = U_+L$$

be the Levi decomposition in the group level corresponding to the Levi decomposition $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{l} + \mathfrak{u}_+$ with L reductive subgroup and U_+ unipotent radical.

Lemma 1. *If $H \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{p})$, then $\mathrm{Ad}(g)H \in \mathcal{H}(\mathrm{Ad}(g)\mathfrak{p})$ for $g \in G$.*

Proof. If $H \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{p})$, it gives a Levi decomposition $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{l}(H) + \mathfrak{u}_+(H)$. For arbitrary $g \in G$, $\mathrm{Ad}(g)H$ is also a hyperbolic element in $\mathfrak{g}$ by definition, and one obtains another Levi decomposition $\mathrm{Ad}(g)\mathfrak{p} = \mathrm{Ad}(g)\mathfrak{l}(H) + \mathrm{Ad}(g)\mathfrak{u}_+(H)$. It is immediate to check by definition that $\mathrm{Ad}(g)\mathfrak{l}(H) = \mathfrak{l}(\mathrm{Ad}(g)H)$ and $\mathrm{Ad}(g)\mathfrak{u}_+(H) = \mathfrak{u}_+(\mathrm{Ad}(g)H)$. Therefore, $\mathrm{Ad}(g)\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{l}(\mathrm{Ad}(p)H) + \mathfrak{u}_+(\mathrm{Ad}(p)H) = \mathfrak{p}(\mathrm{Ad}(g)H)$, and then $\mathrm{Ad}(g)H \in \mathcal{H}(\mathrm{Ad}(g)\mathfrak{p})$. □

Lemma 2. *If $H \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{p})$, then $\mathrm{Ad}(p)H \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{p})$ for $p \in P$.*

Proof. Because P normalizes $\mathfrak{p}$, $\mathrm{Ad}(p)\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}$ for $p \in P$. The conclusion holds immediately by Lemma 1. □

Proposition 2. $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{p}_\Pi) = \mathrm{Ad}(U_+)\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{h}, \Delta, \mathfrak{p}_\Pi) := \{\mathrm{Ad}(u)H \mid u \in U_+, H \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{h}, \Delta, \mathfrak{p}_\Pi)\}$.

Proof. $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{p}_\Pi) \supseteq \mathrm{Ad}(P_\Pi)\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{h}, \Delta, \mathfrak{p}_\Pi)$ is obvious by Lemma 2. On the other hand, if $H \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{p}_\Pi)$, H is a semi-simple element in $\mathfrak{p}_\Pi$. Hence, there exists an element $p \in P_\Pi$ such that $\mathrm{Ad}(p)H \in \mathfrak{h}$. Again by Lemma 2, $\mathrm{Ad}(p)H \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{p}_\Pi)$. Therefore, $\mathrm{Ad}(p)H \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{h}, \Delta, \mathfrak{p}_\Pi)$, and $H \in \mathrm{Ad}(p^{-1})\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{h}, \Delta, \mathfrak{p}_\Pi) \subseteq \mathrm{Ad}(P_\Pi)\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{h}, \Delta, \mathfrak{p}_\Pi)$, so $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{p}_\Pi) \subseteq \mathrm{Ad}(P_\Pi)\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{h}, \Delta, \mathfrak{p}_\Pi)$. It is concluded that $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{p}_\Pi) = \mathrm{Ad}(P_\Pi)\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{h}, \Delta, \mathfrak{p}_\Pi)$. Because $[\mathfrak{l}_\Pi, \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{h}, \Delta, \mathfrak{p}_\Pi)] = 0$, it follows that $\mathrm{Ad}(L_\Pi)(H) = H$ for all $H \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{h}, \Delta, \mathfrak{p}_\Pi)$. Therefore, $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{p}_\Pi) = \mathrm{Ad}(U_+L_\Pi)\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{h}, \Delta, \mathfrak{p}_\Pi) = \mathrm{Ad}(U_+)\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{h}, \Delta, \mathfrak{p}_\Pi)$. □

Corollary 1. $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{p}_\Pi) = \{\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta - \Pi} k_\alpha \mathrm{Ad}(u)T_\alpha \mid u \in U_+, k_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+\}$.

Proof. By Proposition 1 and Proposition 2, the conclusion is proved immediately. □

Lemma 3. $\mathcal{H}(\mathrm{Ad}(g)\mathfrak{p}) = \mathrm{Ad}(g)\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{p})$.

Proof. By Lemma 2, $\mathcal{H}(\mathrm{Ad}(g)\mathfrak{p}) \supseteq \mathrm{Ad}(g)\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{p})$, and $\mathcal{H}(\mathrm{Ad}(g)\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq \mathrm{Ad}(g)\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{p})$ symmetrically. □

Because every parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ is conjugate by an element in G to a standard parabolic subalgebra (Lemma 3.8.1(iii) [**CM**]), for each parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}$, there exists $\Pi \subseteq \Delta$ and $g \in G$ such that $\mathfrak{p} = \mathrm{Ad}(g)\mathfrak{p}_\Pi$.

Proposition 3. *Suppose that* $\mathfrak{p}$ *is a parabolic subalgebra such that* $\mathfrak{p} = \mathrm{Ad}(g)\mathfrak{p}_\Pi$ *for some* $\Pi \subseteq \Delta$ *and* $g \in G$. *Then*

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{p}) = \{\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta - \Pi} k_\alpha \mathrm{Ad}(gu)T_\alpha \mid u \in U_+, k_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+\}$$

where U_+ *is the unipotent radical of* P_Π.

Proof. By Lemma 3 and Corollary 1, the conclusion follows immediately. □

3 Compatible Parabolic Subalgebra

Let $\mathfrak{g}'$ be a reductive subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, and $\mathfrak{p}$ a parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Definition 2. *We say* $\mathfrak{p}$ *is* $\mathfrak{g}'$-compatible *if there exists a hyperbolic element* H *of* $\mathfrak{g}'$ *such that* $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}(H)$.

If $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{l} + \mathfrak{u}_+$ is $\mathfrak{g}'$-compatible, then $\mathfrak{p}' := \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{g}'$ becomes a parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}'$ with Levi decomposition

$$\mathfrak{p}' = \mathfrak{l}' + \mathfrak{u}'_+ := (\mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{g}') + (\mathfrak{u}_+ \cap \mathfrak{g}').$$

According to the definition, $\mathfrak{p}$ is $\mathfrak{g}'$-compatible if and only if $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{p}) \cap \mathfrak{g}' \neq \phi$.

Lemma 4. *Let* $\mathfrak{g}$ *be a complex semi-simple Lie algebra with a reductive subalgebra* $\mathfrak{g}'$. *Suppose that* $\mathfrak{p}$ *is a parabolic subalgebra of* $\mathfrak{g}$. *Take an arbitrary maximal toral subalgebra* $\mathfrak{h}'$ *of* $\mathfrak{p}' := \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{g}'$. *Then* $\mathfrak{p}$ *is* $\mathfrak{g}'$*-compatible if and only if there exists a hyperbolic element* H *in* $\mathfrak{h}'$ *such that* $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}(H)$.

Proof. The "if" part follows the definition immediately. Now if $\mathfrak{p}$ is a $\mathfrak{g}'$-compatible parabolic subalgebra, there is a hyperbolic element $H' \in \mathfrak{g}'$ such that $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}(H')$. Moreover, H' is a semi-simple element in $\mathfrak{p}$, so in $\mathfrak{p}'$, and hence there exists a maximal toral subalgebra $\mathfrak{t}'$ of $\mathfrak{p}'$ such that $H' \in \mathfrak{t}'$. Then $\mathfrak{h}'$ is conjugate to $\mathfrak{t}'$ by an element $x \in \mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{p}')$, so $\mathrm{Ad}(x)H' \in \mathfrak{h}'$ which is also a hyperbolic element. Therefore, $\mathfrak{p} = \mathrm{Ad}(x)\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}(\mathrm{Ad}(x)H')$ by Lemma 3. Let $H = \mathrm{Ad}(x)H'$, and then the "only if" part is proved. □

Remark 1. *Remember that "reductive subalgebra" means that each semi-simple element in* $\mathfrak{g}'$ *is also semi-simple in* $\mathfrak{g}$*; in other words, each Cartan subalgebra*

$\mathfrak{h}'$ of $\mathfrak{g}'$ is contained in some Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. Thus $\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{h}'$. If $\mathfrak{p}_\Pi$ is a parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ containing $\mathfrak{h}$, then Lemma 4 tells us that $\mathfrak{p}_\Pi$ is $\mathfrak{g}'$-compatible if and only if $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{h}, \Delta, \mathfrak{p}_\Pi) \cap \mathfrak{g}' \neq \phi$.

4 Classification for Complex Symmetric Pairs

Let τ be an involutive automorphism of the complex semi-simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^\tau$ be the set of fix points of τ. Then $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\tau)$ forms a complex symmetric pair. Let $\mathfrak{b}$ be a τ-stable Borel subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. We shall determine the $\mathfrak{g}'$-compatibility for all the parabolic subalgebras which contain $\mathfrak{b}$.

Lemma 5. *(Lemma 4.5 [**Ko**]) A parabolic subalgebra is τ-stable if and only if it is $\mathfrak{g}^\tau$-compatible.*

Proposition 4. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\tau)$ be a complex symmetric pair with involution τ, and let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ containing a τ-stable Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}$. Suppose that there is another τ-stable Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}' = \mathrm{Ad}(g)\mathfrak{b}$ for $g \in G = \mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$. If $\mathfrak{p}$ is $\mathfrak{g}^\tau$-compatible, so is $\mathrm{Ad}(g)\mathfrak{p}$.*

Proof. Without confusion, let's denote τ to be the global involution of G as well. Because both $\mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathrm{Ad}(g)\mathfrak{b}$ is τ-stable, $\mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1}\tau(g))\mathfrak{b} = \mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1})\mathrm{Ad}(\tau(g))\tau\mathfrak{b} = \mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1})\tau(\mathrm{Ad}(g)\mathfrak{b}) = \mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1})\mathrm{Ad}(g)\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}$. Hence, $g^{-1}\tau(g) \in N_G(\mathfrak{b}) = N_G(B) = B$ where B is the Borel subgroup of G with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{b}$ by Proposition 6.4.9 [**Sp**]. Since $\mathfrak{p}$ is $\mathfrak{g}^\tau$-compatible, by Lemma 5, $\tau(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p}$, and it follows that $\tau(\mathrm{Ad}(g)\mathfrak{p}) = \mathrm{Ad}(\tau(g))\tau(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathrm{Ad}(g)\mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1}\tau(g))\mathfrak{p} = \mathrm{Ad}(g)\mathfrak{p}$ because $\mathfrak{p}$ contains $\mathfrak{b}$ and $g^{-1}\tau(g) \in B$ normalizes $\mathfrak{p}$. Finally by Lemma 5 again, $\mathrm{Ad}(g)\mathfrak{p}$ is $\mathfrak{g}^\tau$-compatible. □

Remark 2. *By Lemma 5, choosing a τ-stable Borel subalgebra is equivalent to choosing a $\mathfrak{g}^\tau$-compatible Borel subalgebra. Proposition 4 indicates that we are able to choose an arbitrary τ-stable Borel subalgebra.*

As mentioned in Section 1, two types of complex symmetric pairs are worth studying:

type 1 $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is a complex simple Lie algebra and is diagonally embedded into $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$;

type 2 $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\tau)$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is a complex simple Lie algebra with involution τ.

As to type 1, the following theorem solves the problem completely.

Proposition 5. *Let $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ be a complex symmetric pair, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is a complex semi-simple Lie algebra and is diagonally embedded into $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$. Then the $\mathfrak{g}$-compatible parabolic subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ are exactly $\mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ for $\mathfrak{p}$ parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$.*

Proof. Let τ denote the corresponding involution, and then $\tau : \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ is given by $(X, Y) \mapsto (Y, X)$. It is known that the parabolic subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ are exactly $\mathfrak{p}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_2$ for $\mathfrak{p}_1$ and $\mathfrak{p}_2$ parabolic subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$. By Lemma 5, $\mathfrak{p}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_2$ is $\mathfrak{g}$-compatible if and only if $\tau(\mathfrak{p}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_2) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_2$, which is equivalent to $\mathfrak{p}_1 = \mathfrak{p}_2$ according to the definition of τ. □

From now on, only complex symmetric pairs of type 2 will be focused on. The following two lemmas are almost obvious.

Lemma 6. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a complex semi-simple Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{g}'$ be its reductive subalgebra. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ is $\mathfrak{g}'$-compatible.*

Proof. Notice that $H = 0 \in \mathfrak{g}'$ is a hyperbolic element defining $\mathfrak{g}$, and the conclusion follows. □

Lemma 7. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a complex semi-simple Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{g}'$ be its reductive subalgebra. If $\mathrm{Rank}_{\mathbb{C}}\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Rank}_{\mathbb{C}}\mathfrak{g}'$, then there is a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that each parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ containing $\mathfrak{h}$ is $\mathfrak{g}'$-compatible.*

Proof. Choose a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}'$ of $\mathfrak{g}'$, and then it is contained a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. Because $\mathrm{Rank}_{\mathbb{C}}\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Rank}_{\mathbb{C}}\mathfrak{g}'$, $\mathfrak{h}' = \mathfrak{h}$. It follows that each parabolic parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ containing $\mathfrak{h}$ has a hyperbolic element in $\mathfrak{h}'$ which defines it. Therefore, $\mathfrak{p}$ is $\mathfrak{g}'$-compatible. □

Table 1 lists all the complex symmetric pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\tau)$ with $\mathfrak{g}$ simple such that $\mathrm{Rank}_{\mathbb{C}}\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Rank}_{\mathbb{C}}\mathfrak{g}'$.

Proposition 6. *For each complex symmetric pair listed in Table 1, there exists a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that all the parabolic subalgebras $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ containing $\mathfrak{h}$ are $\mathfrak{g}^\tau$-compatible.*

Proof. Because each complex symmetric pair listed in Table 1 has equal complex ranks, the conclusion follows Lemma 7. □

What remain to study are $(sl(2n+1,\mathbb{C}), so(2n+1,\mathbb{C}))$, $(sl(2n,\mathbb{C}), so(2n,\mathbb{C}))$, $(sl(2n,\mathbb{C}), sp(2n,\mathbb{C}))$, $(so(2m+2n,\mathbb{C}), so(2m-1,\mathbb{C})\oplus so(2n+1,\mathbb{C}))$, $(\mathfrak{e}_6, sp(8,\mathbb{C}))$ and $(\mathfrak{e}_6, \mathfrak{f}_4)$, which are those complex symmetric pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\tau)$ with $\mathfrak{g}$ complex simple and $\mathrm{Rank}_{\mathbb{C}}\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathrm{Rank}_{\mathbb{C}}\mathfrak{g}'$.

(i) $(sl(2n+1,\mathbb{C}), so(2n+1,\mathbb{C}))$

Recall that $sl(2n+1,\mathbb{C})$ consists of $(2n+1)\times(2n+1)$ matrices with traces 0. Take all the diagonal matrices to be its Cartan subalgebra, i.e., $\mathfrak{h}_{sl(2n+1,\mathbb{C})} = \{\mathrm{diag}(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_{2n+1}) \mid \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} a_i = 0\}$, and take the simple system to be $\Delta(sl(2n+1,\mathbb{C})) = \{\alpha_k - \alpha_{k+1} \mid 1 \leq k \leq 2n\}$ where $\alpha_k(\mathrm{diag}(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_{2n+1})) = a_k$.

We may realize $so(2n+1,\mathbb{C})$ as the set of skew-adjoint matrices relative to the quadratic form $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} z_i z_{2n+2-i}$, and then take all the diagonal matrices $\mathfrak{h}_{so(2n+1,\mathbb{C})} = \{\mathrm{diag}(b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_n, 0, -b_n, -b_{n-1}, \cdots, -b_1)\}$ to be the Cartan subalgebra of $so(2n+1,\mathbb{C})$.

Table 1: complex symmetric pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\tau)$ with $\mathfrak{g}$ simple and equal ranks

$\mathfrak{g}$	$\mathfrak{g}^\tau$	$\mathrm{Rank}_{\mathbb{C}}\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Rank}_{\mathbb{C}}\mathfrak{g}^\tau$
$sl(m+n,\mathbb{C})$	$s(gl(m,\mathbb{C}) \oplus gl(n,\mathbb{C}))$	$m+n$
$so(2m+n,\mathbb{C})$	$so(2m,\mathbb{C}) \oplus so(n,\mathbb{C})$	$m + [\frac{n}{2}]$
$so(2n,\mathbb{C})$	$gl(n,\mathbb{C})$	n
$sp(2m+2n,\mathbb{C})$	$sp(2m,\mathbb{C}) \oplus sp(2n,\mathbb{C})$	$m+n$
$sp(2n,\mathbb{C})$	$gl(n,\mathbb{C})$	n
$\mathfrak{e}_6$	$\mathfrak{e}_6$	6
$\mathfrak{e}_6$	$sl(6,\mathbb{C}) \oplus sl(2,\mathbb{C})$	6
$\mathfrak{e}_6$	$so(10,\mathbb{C}) \oplus so(2,\mathbb{C})$	6
$\mathfrak{e}_7$	$\mathfrak{e}_7$	7
$\mathfrak{e}_7$	$sl(8,\mathbb{C})$	7
$\mathfrak{e}_7$	$so(12,\mathbb{C}) \oplus sp(2,\mathbb{C})$	7
$\mathfrak{e}_7$	$so(2,\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{e}_6$	7
$\mathfrak{e}_8$	$\mathfrak{e}_8$	8
$\mathfrak{e}_8$	$so(16,\mathbb{C})$	8
$\mathfrak{e}_8$	$sp(2,\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{e}_7$	8
$\mathfrak{f}_4$	$\mathfrak{f}_4$	4
$\mathfrak{f}_4$	$so(9,\mathbb{C})$	4
$\mathfrak{f}_4$	$sp(6,\mathbb{C}) \oplus sp(2,\mathbb{C})$	4
$\mathfrak{g}_2$	$\mathfrak{g}_2$	2
$\mathfrak{g}_2$	$so(4,\mathbb{C})$	2

It is obvious that $\mathfrak{h}_{so(2n+1,\mathbb{C})} \subseteq \mathfrak{h}_{sl(2n+1,\mathbb{C})}$. Moreover, let $H = \mathrm{diag}(n, n-1, \cdots, 1, 0, -1, -2, \cdots, -n) \in \mathfrak{h}_{so(2n+1,\mathbb{C})}$, and then H is a hyperbolic element defining the standard Borel subalgebra of $sl(2n+1,\mathbb{C})$ with respect to $\Delta(sl(2n+1,\mathbb{C}))$; namely, the standard Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}_{sl(2n+1,\mathbb{C})}$ is $so(2n+1,\mathbb{C})$-compatible.

Proposition 7. *The standard $so(2n+1,\mathbb{C})$-compatible parabolic subalgebras of $sl(2n+1,\mathbb{C})$ are exactly those $\mathfrak{p}_\Pi$ such that either $\{\alpha_k - \alpha_{k+1}, \alpha_{2n+1-k} - \alpha_{2n+2-k}\} \subseteq \Pi$ or $\{\alpha_k - \alpha_{k+1}, \alpha_{2n+1-k} - \alpha_{2n+2-k}\} \cap \Pi = \phi$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4, $\mathfrak{p}_\Pi$ is $so(2n+1,\mathbb{C})$-compatible if and only if $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{p}_\Pi) \cap \mathfrak{h}_{so(2n+1,\mathbb{C})} \neq \phi$. Because $\alpha_k - \alpha_{k+1} = \alpha_{2n+1-k} - \alpha_{2n+2-k}$ on $\mathfrak{h}_{so(2n+1,\mathbb{C})}$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$, either both $\alpha_k - \alpha_{k+1}$ and $\alpha_{2n+1-k} - \alpha_{2n+2-k}$ lie in Π or neither of them lies in Π. On the other hand, let $\Pi = \bigcup_{j=1}^{t} \{\alpha_{i_j} - \alpha_{i_j+1}, \alpha_{2n+1-i_j} - \alpha_{2n+2-i_j}\}$ with $1 \leq t \leq n$ and $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_t \leq n$. Take $T_k = \mathrm{diag}(\underbrace{1, 1, \cdots, 1}_{k}, \underbrace{0, 0, \cdots, 0}_{2n-2k+1}, \underbrace{-1, -1, \cdots, -1}_{k})$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$, then $(\alpha_k - \alpha_{k+1})(T_k) = (\alpha_{2n+1-k} - \alpha_{2n+2-k})(T_k) = 1$ and $\alpha(T_k) = 0$ for $\alpha \in \Delta(sl(2n+1,\mathbb{C})) - \{\alpha_k - \alpha_{k+1}, \alpha_{2n+1-k} - \alpha_{2n+2-k}\}$. Now take $H = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ k \neq i_j}} T_k$, by Proposition 1, it defines $\mathfrak{p}_\Pi$. This shows that $\mathfrak{p}_\Pi$ is $so(2n+1,\mathbb{C})$-compatible. □

(ii) $(sl(2n,\mathbb{C}), so(2n,\mathbb{C}))$

The construction for this pair is almost same as **(i)**.

Similarly, $sl(2n,\mathbb{C})$ consists of $2n \times 2n$ matrices with traces 0. Take all the diagonal matrices to be its Cartan subalgebra, i.e., $\mathfrak{h}_{sl(2n,\mathbb{C})} = \{\mathrm{diag}(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_{2n}) \mid \sum_{i=1}^{2n} a_i = 0\}$, and take the simple system to be $\Delta(sl(2n,\mathbb{C})) = \{\alpha_k - \alpha_{k+1} \mid 1 \leq k \leq 2n-1\}$ where $\alpha_k(\mathrm{diag}(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_{2n})) = a_k$.

We may realize $so(2n,\mathbb{C})$ as the set of skew-adjoint matrices relative to the quadratic form $\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i z_{2n+1-i}$, and then take all the diagonal matrices $\mathfrak{h}_{so(2n,\mathbb{C})} =$

$\{\mathrm{diag}(b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_n, -b_n, -b_{n-1}, \cdots, -b_1)\}$ to be the Cartan subalgebra of $so(2n, \mathbb{C})$.

It is obvious that $\mathfrak{h}_{so(2n,\mathbb{C})} \subseteq \mathfrak{h}_{sl(2n,\mathbb{C})}$. Moreover, let $H = \mathrm{diag}(n, n-1, \cdots, 1, -1, -2, \cdots, -n) \in \mathfrak{h}_{so(2n,\mathbb{C})}$, and then H is a hyperbolic element defining the standard Borel subalgebra of $sl(2n, \mathbb{C})$ with respect to $\Delta(sl(2n, \mathbb{C}))$; namely, the standard Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}_{sl(2n+1,\mathbb{C})}$ is $so(2n, \mathbb{C})$-compatible.

Proposition 8. *The standard $so(2n, \mathbb{C})$-compatible parabolic subalgebras of $sl(2n, \mathbb{C})$ are exactly those $\mathfrak{p}_\Pi$ such that either $\{\alpha_k - \alpha_{k+1}, \alpha_{2n-k} - \alpha_{2n+1-k}\} \subseteq \Pi$ or $\{\alpha_k - \alpha_{k+1}, \alpha_{2n-k} - \alpha_{2n+1-k}\} \cap \Pi = \phi$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n-1$.*

Proof. The proof is almost same as that of Proposition 7 by replacing $2n+1$ with $2n$. □

(iii) $(sl(2n, \mathbb{C}), sp(2n, \mathbb{C}))$

Let's take the same Cartan subalgebra and simple system of $sl(2n, \mathbb{C})$ as in **(ii)**.

In most of the time, $sp(2n, \mathbb{C})$ is realized as

$$\{\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & -A^t \end{pmatrix} \mid u, v \in \mathbb{C}^3, A \in gl(3, \mathbb{C}), B, C \text{symmetric}\}.$$

However, if conjugated by an element in $sl(2n, \mathbb{C})$ given by the block diagonal matrix $\mathrm{diag}(I_n, J_n)$ or $\mathrm{diag}(I'_n, J_n)$ depending on their determinants, where I_n is $n \times n$ identity matrix, I'_n denotes $\mathrm{diag}(-1, I_{n-1})$ and J_n denotes the $n \times n$ anti-diagonal matrix with all anti-diagonal entries 1, all the diagonal matrices are $\{\mathrm{diag}(b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_n, -b_n, -b_{n-1}, \cdots, -b_1)\}$ which form a Cartan subalgebra of $sp(2n, \mathbb{C})$.

Now things are totally same as **(ii)**. That is, the standard Borel subalgebra of $sl(2n, \mathbb{C})$ are $sp(2n, \mathbb{C})$-compatible. Moreover, the following conclusion holds.

Proposition 9. *The standard $sp(2n, \mathbb{C})$-compatible parabolic subalgebras of $sl(2n, \mathbb{C})$*

are exactly those $\mathfrak{p}_\Pi$ *such that either* $\{\alpha_k - \alpha_{k+1}, \alpha_{2n-k} - \alpha_{2n+1-k}\} \subseteq \Pi$ *or* $\{\alpha_k - \alpha_{k+1}, \alpha_{2n-k} - \alpha_{2n+1-k}\} \cap \Pi = \phi$ *for all* $1 \leq k \leq n-1$.

Proof. The proof is totally same as that of Proposition 8. □

(iv) $(so(2m+2n,\mathbb{C}), so(2m-1,\mathbb{C}) \oplus so(2n+1,\mathbb{C}))$

As constructed in **(ii)**, $so(2m+2n,\mathbb{C})$ is the set of skew-adjoint matrices relative to the quadratic form $\sum_{i=1}^{m+n} z_i z_{2m+2n+1-i}$. Take all the diagonal matrices $\mathfrak{h}_{so(2m+2n,\mathbb{C})} = \{\mathrm{diag}(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_{m+n}, -a_{m+n}, -a_{m+n}, \cdots, -a_1)\}$ to be the Cartan subalgebra of $so(2m+2n,\mathbb{C})$, and take the simple system to be $\Delta(so(2m+2n,\mathbb{C})) = \{\alpha_k - \alpha_{k+1} \mid 1 \leq k \leq m+n-1\} \cup \{\alpha_{m+n-1} + \alpha_{m+n}\}$ where $\alpha_k(\mathrm{diag}(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_{m+n}, -a_{m+n}, -a_{m+n}, \cdots, -a_1)) = a_k$.

We may realize $so(2m-1,\mathbb{C}) \oplus so(2n+1,\mathbb{C})$ as the set of direct sum of two skew-adjoint matrices relative to the direct product of two quadratic forms $(z_{m+n}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} z_i z_{2m+2n+1-i}, z_{m+n+1}^2 + \sum_{i=m}^{m+n-1} z_i z_{2m+2n+1-i})$, and then take all the diagonal matrices $\mathfrak{h}_{so(2m-1,\mathbb{C})\oplus so(2n+1,\mathbb{C})} = \{\mathrm{diag}(b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_{m-1}, 0, -b_{m-1}, -b_{m-2}, \cdots, -b_1)\} \oplus \{\mathrm{diag}(b_m, b_{m+1}, \cdots, b_{m+n-1}, 0, -b_{m+n-1}, -b_{m+n-2}, \cdots, -b_{-m})\} \cong \{\mathrm{diag}(b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_{m+n-1}, 0, 0, -b_{m+n-1}, -b_{m+n-2}, \cdots, -b_1)\}$ to be the Cartan subalgebra of $so(2m-1,\mathbb{C}) \oplus so(2n+1,\mathbb{C})$.

It is obvious that $\mathfrak{h}_{so(2m-1,\mathbb{C})\oplus so(2n+1,\mathbb{C})} \subseteq \mathfrak{h}_{so(2m+2n,\mathbb{C})}$. Moreover, let $H = \mathrm{diag}(m+n-1, m+n-2, \cdots, 1, 0, 0, -1, -2, \cdots, -m-n+1) \in \mathfrak{h}_{so(2m-1,\mathbb{C})\oplus so(2n+1,\mathbb{C})}$, and then H is a hyperbolic element defining the standard Borel subalgebra of $so(2m+2n,\mathbb{C})$ with respect to $\Delta(so(2m+2n,\mathbb{C}))$; namely, the standard Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}_{so(2m-1,\mathbb{C})\oplus so(2n+1,\mathbb{C})}$ is $so(2m-1,\mathbb{C}) \oplus so(2n+1,\mathbb{C})$-compatible.

Proposition 10. *The standard* $so(2m-1,\mathbb{C}) \oplus so(2n+1,\mathbb{C})$*-compatible parabolic subalgebras of* $so(2m+2n,\mathbb{C})$ *are exactly those* $\mathfrak{p}_\Pi$ *such that* $\{\alpha_{m+n-1} \pm \alpha_{m+n}\} \subseteq \Pi$ *or* $\{\alpha_{m+n-1} \pm \alpha_{m+n}\} \cap \Pi = \phi$.

Proof. First, because $\alpha_{m+n-1}+\alpha_{m+n} = \alpha_{m+n-1}-\alpha_{m+n}$ on $\mathfrak{h}_{so(2m-1,\mathbb{C})\oplus so(2n+1,\mathbb{C})}$, if there appears exactly one of them in Π, $\mathfrak{p}_\Pi$ is not $so(2m-1,\mathbb{C})\oplus so(2n+1,\mathbb{C})$-compatible by Lemma 4. Second, It is not easy to obtain $T_{\alpha_k-\alpha_{k+1}} = \mathrm{diag}(\underbrace{1,1,\cdots,1}_{k},\underbrace{0,0,\cdots,0}_{2m+2n-2k},\underbrace{-1,-1,\cdots,-1}_{k}) \in \mathfrak{h}_{so(2m-1,\mathbb{C})\oplus so(2n+1,\mathbb{C})}$ for $1 \leq k \leq m+n-1$. Hence, if $\{\alpha_{m+n-1}\pm\alpha_{m+n}\} \subseteq \Pi$, then $H = \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta(so(2m+2n,\mathbb{C}))-\Pi} T_\alpha$ just defines $\mathfrak{p}_\Pi$ by Proposition 1, so $\mathfrak{p}_\Pi$ is $so(2m-1,\mathbb{C})\oplus so(2n+1,\mathbb{C})$-compatible. Third, if $\Pi\cap\{\alpha_{m+n-1}\pm\alpha_{m+n}\} = \phi$, then $H = \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta(so(2m+2n,\mathbb{C}))-\Pi-\{\alpha_{m+n-1}\pm\alpha_{m+n}\}} T_\alpha + \mathrm{diag}(1,1,\cdots,1,0,0,-1,-1,\cdots,-1)$ just defines $\mathfrak{p}_\Pi$, so $\mathfrak{p}_\Pi$ is $so(2m-1,\mathbb{C})\oplus so(2n+1,\mathbb{C})$-compatible. This finishes the proof. □

(v) $(\mathfrak{e}_6, sp(8,\mathbb{C}))$

Let $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{e}_6}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{e}_6$, and its dual space $\mathfrak{h}^*_{\mathfrak{e}_6}$ is complex linearly spanned by $\{\alpha_k \mid 1\leq k\leq 6\}$ with the following Cartan matrix.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

A simple system of $sp(8,\mathbb{C})$ is give by

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1 &= \alpha_1 + \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha_5, \\ \beta_2 &= \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha_2 + \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha_6, \\ \beta_3 &= \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha_3 + \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha_5, \\ \beta_4 &= \alpha_4, \end{aligned}$$

Where β_4 is the long root. A Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_{sp(8,\mathbb{C})}$ of $sp(8,\mathbb{C})$ contained in

$\mathfrak{h}^*_{\mathfrak{e}_6}$ is complex linearly spanned by the co-roots:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\beta_1} &= 2H_{\alpha_1} + H_{\alpha_3} + 2H_{\alpha_4} + H_{\alpha_5}, \\ H_{\beta_2} &= H_{\alpha_2} + H_{\alpha_6}, \\ H_{\beta_3} &= H_{\alpha_3} + H_{\alpha_5}, \\ H_{\beta_4} &= H_{\alpha_4}. \end{aligned}$$

Take $H = 7H_{\beta_1} + 10H_{\beta_2} + 12H_{\beta_3} + 13H_{\beta_4}$, and one checks easily that the standard Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{e}_6}$ with respect to the simple system $\Delta(\mathfrak{e}_6)$ is $sp(8,\mathbb{C})$-compatible.

Proposition 11. *The standard $sp(8,\mathbb{C})$-compatible parabolic subalgebras of $\mathfrak{e}_6$ are exactly those $\mathfrak{p}_\Pi$ such that*

(1) either $\{\alpha_2, \alpha_6\} \subseteq \Pi$ or $\{\alpha_2, \alpha_6\} \cap \Pi = \phi$;

(2) either $\{\alpha_3, \alpha_5\} \subseteq \Pi$ or $\{\alpha_3, \alpha_5\} \cap \Pi = \phi$.

Proof. First, because $\alpha_2 = \alpha_6$ on $\mathfrak{h}_{sp(8,\mathbb{C})}$, if there appears exactly one of them in Π, $\mathfrak{p}_\Pi$ is not $sp(8,\mathbb{C})$-compatible by Lemma 4. The same for $\{\alpha_3, \alpha_5\}$. Second, it is not easy to obtain $T_{\alpha_1} = H_{\beta_1} \in \mathfrak{h}_{sp(8,\mathbb{C})}$ and $T_{\alpha_4} = 3H_{\beta_1} + 4H_{\beta_2} + 5H_{\beta_3} + 6H_{\beta_4} \in \mathfrak{h}_{sp(8,\mathbb{C})}$. Moreover, $T_{\{\alpha_2,\alpha_6\}} = H_{\beta_1} + 2H_{\beta_2} + 2H_{\beta_3} + 2H_{\beta_4} \in \mathfrak{h}_{sp(8,\mathbb{C})}$ is the element such that $\alpha_2(T_{\{\alpha_2,\alpha_6\}}) = \alpha_6(T_{\{\alpha_2,\alpha_6\}}) = 1$ and $\alpha_i(T_{\{\alpha_2,\alpha_6\}}) = 0$ for $i = 1, 3, 4, 5$. Similarly, $T_{\{\alpha_3,\alpha_5\}} = 2H_{\beta_1} + 3H_{\beta_2} + 4H_{\beta_3} + 4H_{\beta_4}$ is the element such that $\alpha_3(T_{\{\alpha_3,\alpha_5\}}) = \alpha_5(T_{\{\alpha_3,\alpha_5\}}) = 1$ and $\alpha_i(T_{\{\alpha_3,\alpha_5\}}) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 4, 6$. Finally, given a subset Π of $\Delta(\mathfrak{e}_6)$ satisfying the assumptions in the proposition, one can take the summation of some elements in $\{T_{\alpha_1}, T_{\alpha_4}, T_{\{\alpha_2,\alpha_6\}}, T_{\{\alpha_3,\alpha_5\}}\}$ depending on Π just as the proof of Proposition 10. This finishes the proof. □

(vi) $(\mathfrak{e}_6, \mathfrak{f}_4)$

A simple system of $\mathfrak{f}_4$ is give by

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_1 &= \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha_2 + \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha_6,\\ \beta_2 &= \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha_3 + \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha_5,\\ \beta_3 &= \alpha_4,\\ \beta_4 &= \alpha_1,\end{aligned}$$

Where β_1 and β_2 are short roots while β_3 and β_4 are long roots. A Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{f}_4}$ of $\mathfrak{f}_4$ contained in $\mathfrak{h}^*_{\mathfrak{e}_6}$ is complex linearly spanned by the co-roots:

$$\begin{aligned}H_{\beta_1} &= H_{\alpha_2} + H_{\alpha_6},\\ H_{\beta_2} &= H_{\alpha_3} + H_{\alpha_5},\\ H_{\beta_3} &= H_{\alpha_4},\\ H_{\beta_4} &= H_{\alpha_1}.\end{aligned}$$

Take $H = 8H_{\beta_1} + 15H_{\beta_2} + 21H_{\beta_3} + 11H_{\beta}$, and one checks easily that the standard Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{e}_6}$ with respect to the simple system $\Delta(\mathfrak{e}_6)$ is $\mathfrak{f}_4$-compatible.

Proposition 12. *The standard $\mathfrak{f}_4$-compatible parabolic subalgebras of $\mathfrak{e}_6$ are exactly those $\mathfrak{p}_\Pi$ such that*

(1) either $\{\alpha_2, \alpha_6\} \subseteq \Pi$ or $\{\alpha_2, \alpha_6\} \cap \Pi = \phi$;

(2) either $\{\alpha_3, \alpha_5\} \subseteq \Pi$ or $\{\alpha_3, \alpha_5\} \cap \Pi = \phi$.

Proof. The proof is parallel to that of Proposition 11, with $T_{\alpha_1} = H_{\beta_1} + 2H_{\beta_2} + 3H_{\beta_3} + 2H_{\beta_4}$, $T_{\{\alpha_2,\alpha_6\}} = 2H_{\beta_1} + 3H_{\beta_2} + 4H_{\beta_3} + 2H_{\beta_4}$, $T_{\{\alpha_3,\alpha_5\}} = 3H_{\beta_1} + 6H_{\beta_2} + 8H_{\beta_3} + 4H_{\beta_4}$ and $T_{\alpha_4} = 2H_{\beta_1} + 4H_{\beta_2} + 6H_{\beta_3} + 3H_{\beta_4}$. □

Now the classification for complex symmetric pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\tau)$ of $\mathfrak{g}^\tau$-compatible parabolic subalgebras containing a τ-stable Borel subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ is completely finished. Besides the pairs of type 1 and the pairs of type 2 with equal ranks, the classification for the six remaining pairs is given in Table 2. Note that the simple system of $\mathfrak{e}_6$ is given by the Cartan matrix in $(\mathbf{v})$.

Table 2: $\mathfrak{g}^\tau$-compatible parabolic subalgebras $\mathfrak{p}_\Pi$ for complex symmetric pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\tau)$ with $\mathfrak{g}$ simple and unequal ranks

$\mathfrak{g}$	$\mathfrak{g}^\tau$	Δ	$\Pi' \subseteq \Delta$ such that either $\Pi' \subseteq \Pi$ or $\Pi' \cap \Pi = \phi$
$sl(n, \mathbb{C})$	$so(n, \mathbb{C})$	$\{\alpha_i - \alpha_{i+1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$	$\{\alpha_k - \alpha_{k+1}, \alpha_{n-k} - \alpha_{n+1-k}\}$ for $1 \leq k \leq [\frac{n}{2}]$
$sl(2n, \mathbb{C})$	$sp(2n, \mathbb{C})$	$\{\alpha_i - \alpha_{i+1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq 2n-1\}$	$\{\alpha_k - \alpha_{k+1}, \alpha_{2n-k} - \alpha_{2n+1-k}\}$ for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$
$so(2m+2n, \mathbb{C})$	$so(2m-1, \mathbb{C})$ $\oplus$ $so(2n+1, \mathbb{C})$	$\{\alpha_i - \alpha_{i+1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m+n-1\} \cup \{\alpha_{m+n-1} + \alpha_{m+n}\}$	$\{\alpha_{m+n-1} \pm \alpha_{m+n}\}$
$\mathfrak{e}_6$	$sp(8, \mathbb{C})$	$\{\alpha_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq 6\}$	$\{\alpha_2, \alpha_6\}$ and $\{\alpha_3, \alpha_5\}$
$\mathfrak{e}_6$	$\mathfrak{f}_4$	$\{\alpha_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq 6\}$	$\{\alpha_2, \alpha_6\}$ and $\{\alpha_3, \alpha_5\}$

References

[B] M.Berger, Les espaces symmétriques noncompacts, Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure, Volume 74, p.85-177 (1957).

[C1] É.Cartan, Sur une classe remarquable d'espaces de Riemann I, Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France, Volume 54, p.214-264 (1926).

[C2] É.Cartan, Sur une classe remarquable d'espaces de Riemann II, Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France, Volume 55, p.114-134 (1927).

[CM] D.H.Collingwood and W.M.McGovern, Nilpotent orbits in semisimple Lie algebras, CRC Press (1993).

[H] S.Helgason, Differential geometry, Lie groups and symmetric spaces, Academic Press (1978).

[K] A.W.Knapp, Lie groups beyond an introduction (Second Edition), Progress in Mathematics, Volume 140 (2002).

[Ko] T.Kobayashi, Restriction of Verma modules to symmetric pairs, Transformation Groups, Volume 17, No.2, p.523-546 (2012).

[L1] O.Loos, Symmetric spaces I: General Theory, Benjamin Press (1969).

[L2] O.Loos, Symmetric spaces II: Compact Spaces and Classification, Benjamin Press (1969).

[Sp] T.A.Springer, Linear algebraic groups (Second Edition), Progress in Mathematics, Volume 9 (1998).